

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 25 2007

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 were pending when last examined, of which Claim 12 stands rejected. Applicants thank the Examiner for allowing Claims 1-11. Claim 12 has been amended.

**Summary of Telephone Conversations**

On March 22, 2007, Examiner Pervan called the undersigned attorney to inform the attorney that Claims 1-11 were allowable but that Claim 12 was rejected because it was unclear as to what component the inversion signal and the common voltage are applied.

On March 28, 2007, the undersigned attorney relayed to Examiner Pervan the Applicants' wish to clarify that the inversion signal was being applied to a data driver and the common voltage was being applied to the odd and even pixels. The information that was orally provided on this date are reflected as amendments above in the listing of claims.

**Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112**

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 USC § 102(a) as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the Office Action states that it is unclear whether the inversion signal and common voltage are being supplied image data or where the inversion signal and common voltage are being supplied. The information that was relayed to the Examiner orally on March 28 clarifies where the inversion signal and the common voltage are supplied. Claim 12 also recites that the image data is supplied to the odd pixels. There may or may not be other components that also receive image data but this is outside the scope of Claim 12.

The rejection also states that it is unclear when the reversing of the common voltage takes place. Claim 12 states that the reversal of the common voltage occurs "after supplying the common voltage to the odd and even pixels." Although Applicants do not believe that addition of this limitation to Claim 12 is necessary to satisfy 35 USC § 112, the amendment is made to expedite the prosecution.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 25 2007

**Conclusion**

Applicants believe that Claims 1-12 are in condition for allowance. If the Examiner wishes to discuss any aspect of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone Applicants' undersigned attorney at (408) 392-9250.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 25, 2007



Kieun "Jenny" Sung

Reg. No. 48,639

Attorney for Applicants

MacPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP

2033 Gateway Place, Ste. 400

San Jose, CA 95110

Tel: (408) 392-9250

Fax: (408) 392-9262